



---

**PRISM SECTION**  
(Patients' Revelations as Insightful Studies of their Management)

- Acyclovir Neurotoxicity In A Patient With End-stage Renal Failure Undergoing Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis — A Case Report And What Can Be Learnt
- A Case Of Chikungunya Masquerading As Dengue

## ACYCLOVIR NEUROTOXICITY IN A PATIENT WITH END-STAGE RENAL FAILURE UNDERGOING CONTINUOUS AMBULATORY PERITONEAL DIALYSIS — A CASE REPORT AND WHAT CAN BE LEARNT

Dr Joanna Chan Wei Ying & A/Prof Tan Boon Yeow

### ABSTRACT

**A 65-year-old lady with End-Stage Renal Failure (ESRF) receiving Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD) was admitted acutely for altered mental status and neurological symptoms. She presented to the Emergency Department with bilateral upper limb weakness and clumsiness, bilateral lower limb weakness for 1 day's duration. In addition, her family members reported altered behaviour for the previous 1 day. On examination, the patient had slurred speech, weakness in all limbs and brisk reflexes throughout. Significantly, she had been diagnosed with herpes zoster 2 days earlier by a family physician, for which she was started on oral acyclovir. Our patient was diagnosed as having acyclovir toxicity and commenced on urgent haemodialysis. Her symptoms resolved completely after 2 days. Our case details the uncommon but potentially fatal complication of acyclovir toxicity in patients with renal impairment, reinforces the importance of dose reduction in these patients and demonstrates haemodialysis as a good form of treatment for acyclovir toxicity. Family physicians should be familiar with dose adjustments for common medications prescribed to ESRF patients in the outpatient setting as the burden of chronic kidney disease increases in Singapore.**

**Keywords: Acyclovir Neurotoxicity, Dialysis, Zoster**

**SFP2015; 41(3): 56-58**

### INTRODUCTION

Herpes zoster is a relatively common condition diagnosed in general practice in Singapore, for which oral acyclovir is widely used for treatment with generally good results. Acyclovir neurotoxicity is a known entity which has been reported infrequently, and is known to occur more commonly with intravenous treatment than with oral treatment and in patients with ESRF.<sup>1</sup> The half-life of acyclovir is prolonged in patients with ESRF, predisposing these patients to acyclovir toxicity, including neurological side effects. Dose adjustment is recommended for patients with ESRF on dialysis.<sup>2</sup> We report a case of acyclovir neurotoxicity in a patient undergoing CAPD.

### PATIENT'S REVELATION: WHAT HAPPENED?

A 65-year-old, community-ambulant lady with End-Stage

JOANNA CHAN WEI YING  
MBBS  
National University Health System

TAN BOON YEOW  
MBBS, MMED(FM), MMED(Geriatrics), FCFP(S)  
National University Health System

Renal Failure (ESRF) receiving Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD) was admitted acutely for altered mental status and neurological symptoms. She had been initiated on CAPD for ESRF secondary to Type 2 diabetic nephropathy 5 months earlier, and CAPD had been uneventful so far. Her other medical problems include hypertension, dyslipidaemia and gouty arthritis.

She presented to the Emergency Department with bilateral upper limb weakness and clumsiness, bilateral lower limb weakness for 1 day's duration. There were no complaints of altered sensation or bladder and bowel symptoms. In addition, her family members reported altered behaviour for the previous 1 day, and described the patient as being "disoriented" and "not herself". There was no history of fever, headache, fall, head injury or trauma. Significantly, she had had a painful vesicular rash affecting her left lower limb for the previous 3 days, for which she was diagnosed with herpes zoster 2 days earlier by a family physician and started on oral acyclovir. She had none of the above neurological symptoms at that time.

On examination, the patient had slurred speech, weakness in all limbs and brisk reflexes throughout with bilateral upgoing plantars. An erythematous rash with crops of vesicles was observed over the left buttock, left posterior thigh and left anterior and posterior leg corresponding to the L3 – S2 dermatomes.

Serum glucose, electrolytes, white cell count with differentials and transaminases was normal. Computerised tomography (CT) scan of the brain showed no acute intracranial abnormality. Plain radiographs of the spine showed no suggestion of cervical myelopathy. At this stage, the managing team's differential diagnoses were herpes zoster-associated encephalitis and acyclovir neurotoxicity. A lumbar puncture for cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was considered to make or exclude herpes zoster-associated encephalitis, a rare but potentially fatal complication of herpes zoster infection. At that point in time, the patient's family brought in her current medication which was found to include oral acyclovir 800 milligrams 5 times a day.

Given the timeline of events, the managing team's impression favored acyclovir toxicity over herpes zoster-associated encephalitis and commenced on urgent haemodialysis, with frequent clinical reviews of symptoms with a view to performing a lumbar puncture to exclude encephalitis should symptoms not improve after haemodialysis.

Our patient received 4 hours of urgent haemodialysis on the same day of admission via a temporary right internal jugular vein catheter. Six hours after completion of haemodialysis,

there was rapid recovery of symptoms and the lumbar puncture was not performed. By the end of her second day in hospital, after 2 sessions of 4-hour-long haemodialysis, neurological recovery was complete. Our patient received a total of 3 haemodialysis sessions, each lasting 4 hours. She was observed a further 24-48 hours and remained symptom free. Her vesicular rash was also starting to dry and form crusts. She was discharged home after 5 days in hospital. Her clinical findings of herpes zoster with complete resolution of neurological symptoms after haemodialysis strongly support our diagnosis of acyclovir neurotoxicity.

## GAINING INSIGHT

### What is the acute diagnosis in this patient and how might this impact the initial management?

Epidemiological studies have identified a risk of 0.5 – 1 percent for meningitis or cerebral vasculitis developing in patients with herpes zoster.<sup>3</sup> This risk may be increased in immunocompromised individuals, such as those with diabetes mellitus or ESRF. There have been sporadic reports of herpes zoster-associated encephalitis among patients with ESRF on CAPD, for which diagnosis was made based on CSF examination and electroencephalography (EEG), and after the patient had improved with escalation of acyclovir from oral to intravenous route.<sup>4</sup>

In all cases of herpes zoster with neurological symptoms, herpes zoster-associated encephalitis should be excluded.<sup>5</sup> The gold standard for diagnosis of herpes zoster-associated encephalitis is currently PCR to demonstrate the presence of the herpes simplex virus.<sup>6</sup> However, in a patient with known renal impairment who has received acyclovir treatment, the timeline of events may suggest a diagnosis of acyclovir neurotoxicity instead. In our patient, astute clinical judgement expedited the appropriate management in terms of organising haemodialysis immediately and prevented time wastage, risks involved and costs of a lumbar puncture at initial presentation. However, the managing team and authors recognise that the inclusion of CSF results showing the absence of herpes simplex virus would lend weight to the diagnosis of acyclovir neurotoxicity.

Neurotoxic side effects of acyclovir have been described since the early 1980s.<sup>7</sup> Since approximately 90 percent of the drug is renally excreted, half-life and serum levels of acyclovir are markedly elevated in renal disease. A range of symptoms, from tremor to coma, have been described, with typical onset 24 to 72 hours after both oral and intravenous acyclovir. Visual hallucinations and death delusions are striking features in patients prescribed acyclovir with previously normal brain function. In patients with presumed encephalitis, failure to consider acyclovir neurotoxicity may lead to misinterpretation of neuropsychiatric symptoms as worsening encephalitis; precipitating inappropriate dose increases, rather than reduction or withdrawal.

## MANAGEMENT

### Clinical applications for the inpatient doctor, emergency physician and family physician seeing this patient

Acyclovir is especially poorly removed by peritoneal dialysis and, in CAPD patients, neurotoxicity has been reported in the presence of high serum drug levels, even when recommended reduced doses were administered.<sup>8</sup> In one study evaluating oral dosage of acyclovir in CAPD patients, supratherapeutic concentrations for all participants were found when following the recommended dose.<sup>9</sup> There is, however, a lack of relationship between plasma concentration of acyclovir and the onset of symptoms. Tremors, disorientation, agitation, hallucinations, and delirium are common presentations of acyclovir-induced encephalopathy, whereas seizures, cerebellar ataxia, sensory symptoms, speech disorders, fever, and cranial nerve palsies are much less frequent.<sup>10</sup> Criteria supporting acyclovir neurotoxicity include a temporal association between the symptoms and acyclovir use, as well as acellular CSF.

Haemodialysis can remove up to 45 percent and 113 ml/min of acyclovir and this is considered a reasonable method to reduce the duration of toxicity.<sup>11</sup> It should also be noted that the resolution of the syndrome can be delayed by 48-72 hours after clearance of the drug.<sup>12</sup> Acyclovir neurotoxicity has been reported even in patients receiving doses considered adequate. This may be due to variable individual susceptibility to the drug.<sup>13</sup> Although not done in this patient, the authors suggest serum acyclovir monitoring to document response and improvement in condition, in accordance with studies done on acyclovir toxicity<sup>14</sup> although this is not a substitute for frequent assessment of the patient's clinical response.

A literature review on PubMed among ESRF patients on dialysis with herpes zoster who received acyclovir and subsequently developed acyclovir toxicity yielded few results. There has been sporadic reporting of cases of acyclovir neurotoxicity in ESRF patients who subsequently required haemodialysis for removal of the drug — 2 cases of patients on CAPD were reported in 1992, for which 1 unfortunately died;<sup>15</sup> and 3 cases of patients on haemodialysis were reported from 1995–2004.<sup>16,17,18</sup> There have also been a few studies documenting valacyclovir toxicity in ESRF patients<sup>19,20,21</sup> in recent years from 2012–2014. The bioavailability of valacyclovir is 54 percent compared to approximately 20 percent for oral acyclovir<sup>22</sup> and it is also eliminated renally, hence it is postulated that toxicity may occur more frequently in ESRF patients taking valacyclovir.

Usual methods of CAPD provide relatively low drug clearances during any given dialysate exchange, as compared to haemodialysis.<sup>23</sup> There are available guidelines such as those from the Nephrology Pharmacy Associates which guide physicians on which drugs may require additional or decreased dosing while on peritoneal dialysis or haemodialysis. Family physicians should be aware of the presence of these guidelines and how to access them as these can have an effect on

prescribing habits for common drugs. As an example, the removal of the commonly prescribed acetaminophen from the body is enhanced with haemodialysis but not peritoneal dialysis and there is suggestion that supplemental dosing in conjunction with dialysis is usually required.<sup>24</sup>

There were 4,169 patients on dialysis in Singapore by 2008. This number represented an 89 percent increase since end-1998, when there were 2,209 patients on dialysis. The incidence of new ESRF patients requiring dialysis increases every year, from 564 new cases in 1998 to 1,212 cases in 2008. This represents a 115 percent increase during this 10-year period.<sup>25,26,27</sup> With a rapidly ageing population, Singapore can expect an increasing burden of ESRD and more of these patients will be presenting to the outpatient setting. Globally, it is estimated that there are currently more than 2 million people on renal replacement therapy to sustain life, and this likely represents less than 10 percent of those who need it.<sup>28</sup> In view of this, the role of Family Physicians and General Practitioners in managing patients with ESRD will grow and we encourage all doctors to be aware of potential problems with regard to toxicity in patients with impaired renal function as illustrated by this case of acyclovir neurotoxicity.

## CONCLUSION

Our patient demonstrates the clinical significance of acyclovir neurotoxicity in an ESRF patient on CAPD. Herpes zoster is a common condition seen in ambulatory practice locally. It is important for family physicians to consider the patient's comorbidities before prescribing acyclovir and adjust doses accordingly especially in the setting of renal impairment. The current acceptable maximum daily dose of oral acyclovir for treatment of herpes zoster for patients with a creatinine clearance of less than 10ml/min is 800mg every 12 hourly.<sup>29</sup> As acyclovir neurotoxicity can occur with a wide range of doses, it is imperative to monitor the patient closely and exercise clinical judgement even after dose adjustment.

## REFERENCES

1. Feldman S, Rodman J, Gregory B. Excessive serum concentrations of acyclovir and neurotoxicity. *J Infect Dis.* 1988;157(2):385-8.
2. Stathouloupoulou F, Almond MK, Dhillon S, Raftery MJ. Clinical pharmacokinetics of oral acyclovir in patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Nephron.* 1996;74(2):337-41.
3. Galil K, Choo PW, Donahue JG, Platt R. The sequelae of herpes zoster. *Arch Intern Med.* 1997;157(11):1209-13.
4. Sigaloff KC, de Fijter CW. Herpes zoster-associated encephalitis in a patient undergoing CAPD: case report and literature review. *Perit Dial Int.* 2007 Jul-Aug;27(4):391-4.
5. Hjalmarsson A, Blomqvist P, Sköldenberg B. Herpes simplex encephalitis in Sweden, 1990-2001: incidence, morbidity, and mortality. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;45(7):875-80.
6. Boivin G. Diagnosis of herpesvirus infections of the central nervous system. *Herpes.* 2004;11 Suppl 2:48A-56A.
7. Tomson CR, Goodship TH, Rodger RS. Psychiatric side-effects of acyclovir in patients with chronic renal failure. *Lancet.* 1985;2(8451):385-6.
8. Izzedine H, Mercadal L, Aymard G, et al. Neurotoxicity of valacyclovir in peritoneal dialysis: a pharmacokinetic study. *Am J Nephrol.* 2001

- Mar-Apr;21(2):162-4.
9. Stathouloupoulou F, Dhillon S, Thodis H, Stathakis C, Vargemezis V. Evaluation of valacyclovir dosage reduction in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. *Nephron.* 2002;91(1):164-6.
10. Mahad DJ, Hellden A, Jarvis J, Mitra D, Gholkar A, Chinnery PF. Aciclovir induced posterior leucoencephalopathy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2005;76(9):1308-9.
11. Keller F, Giehl M, Frankewitsch T, Zellner D. Pharmacokinetics and drug dosage adjustment to renal impairment. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 1995;10(9):1516-20.
12. Haefeli WE, Schoenenberger RA, Weiss P, Ritz RF. Acyclovir-induced neurotoxicity: concentration-side effect relationship in acyclovir overdose. *Am J Med.* 1993;94(2):212-5.
13. Abad S, Deray G, Lokiec F, Hamani A, Petitclerc T, Jacobs C. Coma induced by intravenous acyclovir in a hemodialysed patient. *Presse Med.* 1997;26(22):1050. French.
14. Almond MK, Fan S, Dhillon S, Pollock AM, Raftery MJ. Avoiding acyclovir neurotoxicity in patients with chronic renal failure undergoing haemodialysis. *Nephron.* 1995;69(4):428.
15. Davenport A, Goel S, Mackenzie JC. Neurotoxicity of acyclovir in patients with end-stage renal failure treated with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1992;20(6):647.
16. Skhiri H, Achour A, Skhiri S, Frih A, Bouraoui S, Dhia NB, et al. Neuropsychiatric Manifestations in a Patient Undergoing Hemodialysis Caused by Treatment with Oral Acyclovir. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant.* 2004;15(1):50-52.
17. Collins A, Krieff D, Smith C, Singer C. Neuropsychiatric Toxicity in a Patient Undergoing Hemodialysis and Receiving Treatment with Oral Acyclovir. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1996;22(1):187-8.
18. Revankar SG, Applegate AL, Markovitz DM. Delirium associated with acyclovir treatment in a patient with renal failure. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1995 Aug;21(2):435-6.
19. Takayanagi A, Maehana T, Kyoda Y, Yanase M. Neurotoxicity of valacyclovir in a peritoneal dialysis patient. *Hinyokika Kyo.* 2010 Nov;56(11):617-9. Japanese.
20. Chaudhari D, Ginn D. Valacyclovir-associated neurotoxicity in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Ther.* 2014;21(6):e215-7. doi: 10.1097/MJT.0b013e318289bae9
21. Hoskote SS, Annareddy N, Ramesh AK, Rose K, Jones JP. Valacyclovir and Acyclovir Neurotoxicity With Status Epilepticus. *Am J Ther.* 2014 Jan 6. [Epub ahead of print]
22. Peyrière H, Branger B, Bengler C, Vécina F, Pinzani V, Hillaire-Buys D, et al. Neurologic toxicity caused by zelitrex (valacyclovir) in 3 patients with renal failure. Is overdose associated with improvement of product bioavailability improvement? *Rev Med Intern.* 2001;22(3):297-303. French.
23. Hirata S, Kadowaki D. Appropriate drug dosing in patients receiving peritoneal dialysis. *Contrib Nephrol.* 2012;177:30-7.
24. Nephrology Pharmacy Associates 2000 Dialysis of Drugs. <http://www.just.edu.jo/DIC/Manuals/Dialysis%20of%20Drugs.pdf>.
25. Ministry of Health. Kidney Dialysis. MOH Information Papers. Statistics, Publications and Resources. Singapore: Ministry of Health; 2006. <http://www.moh.gov.sg>.
26. Vathsala A. Twenty-five facts about kidney disease in Singapore: in remembrance of World Kidney Day. *Ann Acad Med.* 2007;36(3):157-60.
27. Lee JC, Choong HL, Vasthala A, et al. Seventh Report of the Singapore Renal Registry 2007/2008. Singapore: Health Promotion Board; 2010.
28. Eggers PW. Has the incidence of end-stage renal disease in the USA and other countries stabilized? *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens.* 2011;20(3):241-5. doi: 10.1097/MNH.0b013e318283454319.
29. Hanlon JT, Aspinall SL, Semla TP, Weisbord SD, Fried LF, Good CB, et al. Consensus guidelines for oral dosing of primarily renally cleared medications in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009 Feb;57(2):335-40. [Erratum in *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009 Nov;57(11):2179. Dosage error in article text.]

## A CASE OF CHIKUNGUNYA MASQUERADING AS DENGUE

Dr Jeffrey Jiang Song'En

### ABSTRACT

**A 22-year-old university undergraduate presented with persistent fever and appearance of rash on the 4th day of illness. On the 3rd day, he consulted his general practitioner (GP) who detected thrombocytopenia. The dengue duo test (dengue NS1 antigen, IgM and IgG) was negative. Concerned after the rash appeared, the patient sought consultation at the Emergency Department (ED) in a local hospital and was admitted. Investigations in hospital confirmed chikungunya infection. This case report highlights two key messages in the American Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) advisory: (1) it is difficult to distinguish chikungunya and dengue based on clinical findings alone; (2) the patient should be managed as having dengue until dengue has been excluded.**

**Keywords: Chikungunya, Dengue, Fever, Rash, Arthralgia, Myalgia, Thrombocytopenia**

SFP2015; 41(3): 59-64

### INTRODUCTION

Chikungunya fever has been confused with dengue in the past, particularly in regions where dengue is endemic.<sup>1,2,3</sup> This article aims to (1) illustrate how chikungunya can masquerade as dengue, thereby contributing to diagnostic difficulty faced by primary care physicians; (2) discuss the evidence supporting how these two conditions can be differentiated;<sup>3</sup> and (3) illustrate some learning points from the case.

### CASE VIGNETTE

The patient is a 22-year-old Norwegian male, university undergraduate, who presented to the Emergency Department (ED) at a tertiary hospital in Singapore. He did not have any past medical history.

#### Chief complaints

He had seen a general practitioner (GP) the day before for 3 days of intermittent fever, myalgia and 2 days of retro-orbital pain. The GP performed a full blood count and dengue duo test which revealed a platelet count of  $103 \times 10^9/L$  and negative results for dengue NS1 antigen, IgM and IgG. He was treated symptomatically with antipyretics for presumed viral fever and given a follow-up appointment in 2 days to monitor his platelet counts.

He presented to the ED due to persistence of the fever (4th day) and a sudden onset of a non-pruritic rash distributed over his chest and back. He was concerned about whether the GP had made a misdiagnosis and if he required antibiotics.

He described his fever as having intermittent spikes with a maximum temperature of  $38.9^\circ C$  that was associated with myalgia and generalised lethargy. His retro-orbital pain had resolved and there were no visual disturbances. He did not have any chills, rigors, arthralgia, bleeding tendencies nor abdominal pain, and there was no change in urinary or bowel habits. There was no cough, rhinorrhoea or breathing difficulty.

#### Travel and social history

Three days prior to the start of his fever, he had visited a forested area at the southern ridges in Singapore with his friends. He had also travelled to Thailand, Laos and Vietnam for jungle trekking two months earlier and visited the Philippines one month earlier and Malaysia three weeks earlier for a holiday. He remained asymptomatic then and did not remember being bitten by any insect or animal. He had no significant contact history. He was sexually active but denied unprotected sex. He did not consume any illicit drugs or participate in intravenous drug abuse.

#### Physical findings at presentation to the ED

His temperature was  $38.1^\circ C$ , blood pressure was 120/81 mmHg and heart rate was 88 beats per minute. He was alert with no pallor or jaundice. He did not have any epistaxis, gum bleeding or bruising. A blanchable maculopapular rash was noted over his chest and back with islands of sparing. There were no lesions on his palms and soles. Examination of the oropharynx was normal. Heart sounds were dual and he had vesicular breath sounds. His abdomen was soft, non-tender and there was no hepatosplenomegaly. No lymph nodes were palpable.

#### Progress

The progress of illness is shown in Figure 1 on the timeline of events. The patient was subsequently admitted to the general ward with the provisional diagnosis of viral fever with thrombocytopenia for investigation.

#### Investigations

The following investigations were done in the hospital on admission:

Dengue duo test:  
 - Dengue NS1: Negative.  
 - Dengue IgM: Negative.  
 - Dengue IgG: Negative.

Malaria microscopy: No malaria parasite seen.

JEFFREY JIANG SONG'EN  
 MBBS  
 National University Health System

**Figure 1. Timeline of events in the patient's illness.**



Chikungunya IgM: Ordered on admission, results available the next day showed IgM positive.

Full blood count:

- Haemoglobin: 15.0g/dL (12.9 - 17.0).
- White Blood Cell: 3.77x10<sup>9</sup>/L (3.40 - 9.60).
- Platelets: 78x10<sup>9</sup>/L (132 - 372).
- Haematocrit: 42.5% (37.5 - 49.3).
- Lymphocytes: 1.71x10<sup>9</sup>/L (0.94 - 3.08).

Liver function test: Normal.

Tests for differential diagnoses of leptospirosis, hepatitis viruses (A, B, C), cytomegalovirus, Epstein Barr virus and human immunodeficiency virus infections done later in the general ward were also negative. Blood and urine cultures did not show any growth of organisms and his chest X-ray was normal.

With the positive results of chikungunya infection, the patient was informed that he did not have a dengue infection. He was later discharged with antipyretics and given a follow-up appointment with his GP to monitor his platelet counts.

**GAINING INSIGHT**

This patient presented with fever for 4 days. He asked, “Why is the fever lasting this length of time? Are the blood tests accurate? Is my condition serious and do I need to be admitted to the hospital?”

**MANAGEMENT**

The management of this case can be discussed as phases:

**(1) Before the diagnosis of the chikungunya infection**

The GP who had initially seen the patient had given him instructions to return for a review and to watch out for warning signs such as bleeding and abdominal pain. Furthermore, even though the dengue duo test performed was sensitive and specific, positive detection rate is not 100 percent in cases of secondary dengue (defined as dengue infection in a host that has previously been infected by a dengue virus, or after non-dengue flavivirus vaccination or infection). This was shown in the paper by Wang et al,<sup>4</sup> where the positive detection rate by dengue duo assay for secondary dengue was only 90 percent.

In the hospital, the patient was informed that although the initial dengue test was negative, there was still a possibility of false-negative results. Moreover, as he had fever, myalgia, rash, lethargy and thrombocytopenia, he had fulfilled the 2009 WHO criteria for probable dengue with warning signs (see Table 1). Furthermore, due to his significant travel history to multiple countries, additional tests for other offending organisms would also be performed together with the repeat dengue test.

Although he did not have leukopenia or a raised haematocrit, he was admitted to the hospital to monitor for signs of shock and haemorrhage. This was in view of his lethargy and falling platelet level, which are warning signs that predict a higher risk of progression to severe dengue. He was also monitored for a drop in postural blood pressure which he did not have. The patient was treated symptomatically with paracetamol and the administration of intravenous fluids (2.5 litres was given over 24 hours).

The repeat dengue duo test (utilising the SD BIOLINE Dengue Duo kit) was negative. Thus, the diagnosis of dengue fever was very unlikely, given the high sensitivity and specificity of the test as seen in Table 2.

**Table 1: 2009 WHO classification for diagnosing dengue**

| <b>Dengue without warning signs</b>   |  |
|---|--|
| Fever and two of the following:   |  |
| 1. Nausea, vomiting   |  |
| 2. Rash   |  |
| 3. Aches and pains  |  |
| 4. Leukopenia   |  |
| 5. Positive tourniquet test   |  |
| <b>Dengue with warning signs (requires strict observation and medical intervention)</b> |  |
| Dengue (as defined above) with any of the following:                                    |  |
| 1. Abdominal pain or tenderness   |  |
| 2. Persistent vomiting  |  |
| 3. Clinical fluid accumulation (ascites, pleural effusion)                              |  |
| 4. Mucosal bleeding   |  |
| 5. Lethargy, restlessness   |  |
| 6. Liver enlargement >2 cm  |  |
| 7. Laboratory: increase in haematocrit concurrent with rapid decrease in platelet count |  |
| <b>Severe Dengue</b>  |  |
| Dengue with at least one of the following criteria:                                     |  |
| 1. Severe plasma leakage leading to:  |  |
| – Shock (Dengue Shock Syndrome)   |  |
| – Fluid accumulation with respiratory distress  |  |
| 2. Severe bleeding as evaluated by clinician  |  |
| 3. Severe organ involvement   |  |
| – Liver: AST or ALT $\geq$ 1000   |  |
| – Central nervous system: impaired consciousness  |  |
| – Failure of heart and other organs   |  |

**Table 2. Sensitivity and specificity of dengue duo test<sup>5</sup>**

|             | Dengue NS1 Ag | Dengue IgG/IgM |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| Sensitivity | 92.4%         | 94.2%          |
| Specificity | 98.4%         | 96.4%          |

**Table 3. A comparison of typical chikungunya and dengue features with this case**

| Feature            | Chikungunya | Dengue | This Case |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| Fever (>39°C)      | +++         | ++     | -         |
| Arthralgia         | +++         | +/-    | -         |
| Arthritis          | +           | -      | -         |
| Headache           | ++          | ++     | +         |
| Rash               | ++          | +      | ++        |
| Myalgia            | +           | ++     | ++        |
| Haemorrhage        | +/-         | ++     | -         |
| Shock              | -           | +      | -         |
| Lymphopenia        | +++         | ++     | -         |
| Neutropenia        | +           | +++    | -         |
| Thrombocytopenia   | +           | +++    | ++        |
| Haemoconcentration | -           | ++     | -         |

Source: CDC factsheet 2014. Chikungunya: Clinical management in dengue-endemic areas.

### (2) After the diagnosis of chikungunya infection

The patient was informed that he had chikungunya fever diagnosed by a blood test and that he did not have dengue fever. It was evident that he had been infected in Singapore given his travel history and the incubation period of the chikungunya virus (2 to 4 days). Moreover, chikungunya infection is endemic in Singapore. His concerns and anxieties were also allayed by explaining that no antibiotic was required and that the fever would eventually resolve.

### (3) Closure

As he remained haemodynamically stable throughout his hospital stay and showed clinical improvement with a rising platelet trend, he was discharged on day 6 of his illness. He was arranged to follow up with his GP and told that he could return to school but to watch out for red flags such as bruising, gum bleeding, giddiness, severe vomiting, diarrhoea and abdominal pain. Additionally, he should avoid sports and strenuous physical activity until his platelet levels normalized. To prevent further transmission of the disease, he was advised to protect himself and household members from mosquito bites during this period.

On day 7 of his illness, he was reviewed by the GP and his platelet count had increased to  $108 \times 10^9/L$ . He had full resolution of his symptoms and has been well since.

## DISCUSSION

### (1) Atypical features in this case

This case highlights the patient's journey in a chikungunya

infection presenting atypically. For a while the quandary was: Is this dengue?

The features in this case were different from typical cases in the following ways — the onset of fever was more gradual than acute, the duration of fever was longer than expected, there was no arthralgia and thrombocytopenia was noted to be below  $100 \times 10^9/L$ .

In Table 3, this case is compared with the typical clinical and laboratory features of chikungunya and dengue infections based on the American Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) factsheet.<sup>6</sup> Although Table 3 seems to suggest that haemoconcentration and shock are distinguishing features between chikungunya and dengue, one should bear in mind that they both occur in severe dengue. Since most cases of dengue fever in Singapore are not severe,<sup>7</sup> haemoconcentration and shock may not be useful distinguishing features in this patient.

It is also important to note that there are other atypical or severe disease presentations of chikungunya fever that have been reported involving various systems<sup>8</sup> — neurological (meningoencephalitis), ocular (retinitis), cardiovascular (myocarditis), dermatological (vesiculobullous dermatosis), hepatic (acute hepatitis), and renal (nephritis). These are more common in children and the elderly.

### (2) Known typical clinical features of chikungunya infection

The typical features of both chikungunya fever and dengue

**Table 4. A comparison of the typical features of chikungunya infection internationally**

| Typical features           | Lee et al. 2012, Singapore <sup>3</sup> | Win et al. 2010, Singapore <sup>9</sup> | Mohd et al. 2013, Malaysia <sup>10</sup> | Laoprasopattana et al. 2012, Thailand <sup>11</sup> | Kularatne et al. 2007, Sri Lanka <sup>12</sup> | Reller et al. 2013, Sri Lanka <sup>13</sup> | Taraphdar et al. 2012, India <sup>14</sup> | Rezza et al. 2014, Yemen <sup>15</sup> | Nkoghe et al. 2010, Gabon <sup>16</sup> | Hoche et al. 2008, France <sup>17</sup> | Total     |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|-----------|
| Number of patients         | 117                                     | 97                                      | 53                                       | 32  | 23   | 28  | 131  | 49                                     | 270                                     | 22                                      | 822       |
| Fever at presentation      | 105 (90%)                               | 87 (90%)                                | 51 (96%)                                 | 32 (100%)   | 23 (100%)                                      | 28 (100%)                                   | 131 (100%)                                 | 49 (100%)                              | 232 (86%)                               | 22 (100%)                               | 760 (92%) |
| Arthralgia at presentation | 111 (95%)                               | 85 (88%)                                | 51 (96%)                                 | 31 (96%)  | 20 (87%)                                       | 20 (71%)                                    | 92 (70%)                                   | 48 (98%)                               | 227 (84%)                               | 22 (100%)                               | 707 (86%) |
| Rash at presentation       | 47 (40%)                                | 35 (36%)                                | 31 (59%)                                 | 28 (88%)  | 7 (30%)  | 3 (11%)                                     | 52 (40%)                                   | 13 (27%)                               | 111 (41%)                               | 16 (73%)                                | 343 (42%) |

Footnote: *Calculated percentages in brackets for individual studies.*

fever have been described in the CDC factsheet 2014 (see Table 3).

Table 4 shows a comparison of these features from different studies conducted locally and overseas. It can be seen that almost all patients had fever and arthralgia. In a prospective cohort study in Singapore,<sup>9</sup> patients with chikungunya fever with persistent arthralgia tended to be females. The calculated percentages for the whole series are shown in the last column.

### (3) Reports of similar cases in the literature

A local study<sup>3</sup> found that although key significant differences existed between dengue and chikungunya infection, there were substantial overlaps in the symptoms and signs. The key differences that were most apparent at presentation were leucocytosis, myalgia and arthralgia in chikungunya cases, compared to thrombocytopenia in dengue cases.

### (4) Dual infection

Moreover, one must also be aware that the two diseases can also be seen simultaneously in the same patient.<sup>18</sup>

### (5) Manage as dengue until chikungunya infection is confirmed

It is important to distinguish chikungunya fever from dengue fever as the latter has the potential for considerably worse outcomes, including death. Where the illness is prolonged, sustaining the patient's confidence is important. Although differentiation of chikungunya from dengue may not alter supportive management, it would be useful in the diagnosis and advice to patients of the expected clinical course. This patient had initially seen a GP but later ended up in the tertiary hospital on his own accord due to his concern over his fever and sudden appearance of his rash. Informing the patient of the likely

disease progression and on the red flags to seek medical attention will empower the patient and allay concerns. When faced with a diagnostic dilemma, close follow up and vigilance to pick up new signs and symptoms on the physician's part is also required.

Finally, since January 2008, Singapore has experienced autochthonous transmissions of chikungunya virus in areas where *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes were present and has suffered 2 major outbreaks in 2008 and 2013. As such, vector control is extremely important and remains the sole method for reducing transmission of chikungunya as no vaccine is currently available. Physicians must do their role in educating patients about safeguard measures and source reduction methods to control the spread of mosquito-borne diseases.

## CONCLUSION

(1) This case highlights similarities between chikungunya and dengue infections, and the difficulty in distinguishing the two by symptoms and signs alone.

(2) This case also highlights the importance of managing the patient as having dengue until dengue has been excluded.

## REFERENCES

1. Ali U, Isahak I, Rahman M. Chikungunya confused with dengue in Malaysia: clinical, serological and molecular perspective. *Internet Journal of Microbiology*. 2010;9(2). <http://ispub.com/IJMB/9/2/4634>.
2. Fukunaga T, Rojanasuphot S, Pisuthipornkul S, Wungkorbkiat S, Thammanichanon A. Seroepidemiologic study of arbovirus infections in the north-east and south of Thailand. *Biken J*. 1974 Dec;17(4):169-82.
3. Lee VJ, Chow A, Zheng X, Carrasco LR, Cook AR, Lye DC, et al. Simple clinical and laboratory predictors of chikungunya versus dengue

- infections in adults. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2012;6(9):e1786. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001786. Epub 2012 Sep 27.
4. Wang SM, Sekaran SD. Early diagnosis of dengue infection using a commercial Dengue Duo Rapid test kit for the detection of NS1, IGM, and IGG. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2010;83(3):690-5. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2010.10-0117.
5. SD BIOLINE Dengue Duo (Dengue NS1 Ag + IgG/IgM) test kit—product information. [http://www.standardia.com/en/home/product/rapid/infectious-disease/Dengue\\_Duo.html](http://www.standardia.com/en/home/product/rapid/infectious-disease/Dengue_Duo.html).
6. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CHIKUNGUNYA: Clinical management in dengue-endemic areas factsheet. 2014. [http://www.cdc.gov/chikungunya/pdfs/CHIKV\\_DengueEndemic.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/chikungunya/pdfs/CHIKV_DengueEndemic.pdf).
7. Low, JG, Ooi EE, Tolfvenstam T, Leo YS, Hibberd ML, Ng LC, et al. Early dengue infection and outcome study (EDEN)—study design and preliminary findings. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2006;35(11):783-9.
8. Rajapaksa S, Rodrigo C, Rajapakse A. Atypical manifestations of chikungunya infection. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2010;104(2):89-96. doi: 10.1016/j.trstmh.2009.07.031. Epub 2009 Aug 27.
9. Win MK, Chow A, Dimatatac F, Go CJ, Leo YS. Chikungunya fever in Singapore: Acute clinical and laboratory features, and factors associated with persistent arthralgia. *J Clin Virol*. 2010 Oct;49(2):111-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2010.07.004. Epub 2010 Jul 31.
10. Mohd Zim MA, Sam IC, Omar SF, Chan YF, AbuBakar S, Kamarulzaman A. Chikungunya infection in Malaysia: comparison with dengue infection in adults and predictors of persistent arthralgia. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;56(2):141-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2012.10.019. Epub 2012 Nov 30.
11. Laoprasopwattana K, Kaewjungwad L, Jarumanokul R, Geater A. Differential diagnosis of chikungunya, dengue viral infection and other acute febrile illnesses in children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2012;31(5):459-63. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e31824bb06d.
12. Kularatne SA, Gihan MC, Weerasinghe SC, Gunasena S. Concurrent outbreaks of chikungunya and dengue fever in Kandy, Sri Lanka, 2006-07: a comparative analysis of clinical and laboratory features. *Postgrad Med J*. 2009; 85: 342. doi: 10.1136/pgmj.2007.066746.
13. Reller ME, Akoroda U, Nagahawatte A, Devasiri V, Kodikaarachchi W, Strouse JJ, et al. Chikungunya as a cause of acute febrile illness in Southern Sri Lanka. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(12):e82259. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082259.
14. Taraphdar D, Sarkar A, Mukhopadhyay BB, Chatterjee S. A comparative study of clinical features between monotypic and dual infection cases with chikungunya virus and dengue virus in West Bengal, India. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2012 Apr;86(4):720-3. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0704.
15. Rezza G, El-sawaf G, Faggioni G, Vescio F, Al Ameri R, De Santis R, et al. Co-circulation of dengue and chikungunya viruses, Al Hudaydah, Yemen, 2012. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2014 Aug;20(8):1351-4. doi: 10.3201/eid2008.131615.
16. Nkoghe D, Kassa RF, Bisvigou U, Caron M, Grard G, Leroy EM. No clinical or biological difference between chikungunya and dengue fever during the 2010 Gabonese outbreak. *Infect Dis Rep*. 2012 Jan 3;4(1):e5. doi: 10.4081/idr.2012.e5. eCollection 2012.
17. Hochedez P, Canestri A, Guihot A, Brichler S, Bricaire F, Caumes E. Management of travelers with fever and exanthema, notably dengue and chikungunya infections. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2008;78(5):710-3.
18. Chang SF, Su CL, Shu PY, Yang CF, Liao TL, Cheng CH, et al. Concurrent isolation of chikungunya virus and dengue virus from a patient with coinfection resulting from a trip to Singapore. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2010 Dec;48(12):4586-9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01228-10. Epub 2010 Sep 29.